PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

		_
8 3	_	-
_	ŧ .	
	\mathbf{v}	

ANKOM	0.2	MAP	2005
ANKOM	UĿ	141.AS	ZUUJ

DATE

GIPS

NTD

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treatment)

CODE

	(PCT Article 36 and Rule	e 70) [CHECK
Applicant's or agent's file reference 100807-1 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/GB2004/000550	International filing date (day/month/year) 11.02.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 13.02.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or A61K31/4745, A61K31/513, A61K3	national classification and IPC 31.517	
Applicant ASTRAZENECA AB et al		
This report is the international property and the Authority under Article 35 and	reliminary examination report, established ansmitted to the applicant according to	ed by this International Preliminary Examining Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a tota	of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet	•
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:	·
a. sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:
-backs of the decorin	tion, claims and/or drawings which have ning rectifications authorized by this Aut	been amended and are the basis of this report hority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
C sheets which currors	ada earlier sheets, but which this Author	rity considers contain an amendment that goes , as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b. (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type anables related thereto, in computer readal e Listing (see Section 802 of the Admini	d number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a ble form only, as indicated in the Supplemental istrative instructions).
This report contains indications in	relating to the following items:	
Box No. I Basis of the op	plnion	
☐ Box No. II Priority		
· -	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, in	iventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unity o		anualty investive step or industrial
⊠ Box No. V Reasoned stat applicability; ci	ement under Article 35(2) with regard to tations and explanations supporting suc	th statement
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum		
	s in the international application	
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the international application	
Date of submission of the demand	Date of complet	tion of this report
24.08.2004	01.03.2005	
Name and mailing address of the internatio preliminary examining authority:	nal Authorized Office	cer
European Patent Office - P.E NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays	Bas Leherte, C	
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 3	1 R51 ano al l	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000550

_	Box	No. I	Basis of the	report	
1				ge, this report is based cated under this item.	on the international application in the language in which it was
	□ 7 V	This re	port is based o	n translations from the of a translation furnished	original language into the following language, ed for the purposes of:
	Ε	J pub	lication of the i	h (under Rules 12.3 and nternational application iinary examination (und	d 23.1(b)) (under Rule 12.4) er Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2	have	been	furnished to the		application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which conse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this this report):
	Descr	riptìon,	, Pages		
	1-39			as originally filed	
	Claim	s, Nun	nbers		
	1-14			. as originally filed	
	□а	seque	ence listing and	or any related table(s)	see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
З.		he am	endments have	resulted in the cancella	ation of:
			description, paç claims, Nos.	es	
		the o	drawings, shee		
			sequence listinq table(s) related	(specify): to sequence listing (spe	ecify):
4.	had no	ot been	oort has been e n made, since t al Box (Rule 70	hey have been consider	f) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below red to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the
		the d	lescription, pag claims, Nos. Irawings, sheet equence listing	s/figs	
				to sequence listing (spe	ecify):
	* Tf	ite	m 4 annlies	some or all of t	hese sheets may be marked "superseded "

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000550

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- povious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
C	the entire international application,			
Ø	claims Nos. 14 with respect to industrial applicability			
	because:			
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 14 with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	see separate sheet			
E	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
Ε	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.			
C	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
Е	See separate sheet for further	· detai	Is	

!

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000550

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No: Claims

14 (see separate sheet)

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/GB2004/000550

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 14 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1) The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 01/32651 A

2) NOVELTY

The subject-matter of claims 1-14 is not new in the sense of Article 33 (2) PCT. Document D1 already discloses the combined use of ZD6474 and 5-Fu or CPT-11 in antiangiogenic and/or vascular permeability reducing treatment.

3) INVENTIVE STEP

Should the Applicant nevertheless overcome the objections of lack of novelty raised above, then his attention is drawn to the fact that the present claims would not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject matter of the claims would not involve an inventive step.

The use of a combination of two or more active ingredients with known identical therapeutic use can only be considered as inventive when a surprising effect, an unexpected high synergistic effect or reduced side effects for example, can be assigned in relation to the claimed therapeutic use. In this respect, the present application lacks supportive evidence as the results of the comparative tests on pages 36 and 39 do not

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/000550

show more then additive effects..

- 4) INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY
- 4.1) There are not doubts about the industrial applicability of claims 1-13.
- 4.2) For the assessment of the present claims 14 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.